arXiv:1611.02088v1 [physics.comp-ph] 7 Nov 2016

A magnetostatic energy formula arising from the L^2-orthogonal decomposition of the stray field

Lukas Exl *[1,2]

[1]Fak. Mathematik, Univ. Wien, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090, Vienna.
[2]Inst. of Solid State Physics, TU Wien, Karlsplatz 13, 1040, Vienna.

November 8, 2016

Abstract. A formula for the magnetostatic energy of a finite magnet is proven. In contrast to common approaches, the new energy identity does not rely on evaluation of a nonlocal boundary integral inside the magnet or the solution of an equivalent Dirichlet problem. The formula is therefore computationally efficient. Algorithms for the simulation of magnetic materials could benefit from incorporating the presented representation of the energy. In addition, a natural analogue for the energy via the magnetic induction is given. Proofs are carried out within a setting which is suitable for common discretizations in computational micromagnetics.

Keywords: micromagnetics, magnetostatics, stray field energy, single layer potential

1 Introduction

The magnetostatic energy of a magnet $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is, up to a constant, given as

$$e_d = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_s \, dx = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \nabla u \, dx, \tag{1}$$

where the magnetization $\boldsymbol{m}$ is defined in Ω and zero elsewhere, $\boldsymbol{h}_s = -\nabla u$ is the stray field and u its scalar potential satisfying $\Delta u = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{m}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ [1]. In micromagnetic simulations the self-energy (1) is known to be the most time-consuming part due to its nonlocal nature [2]. We will derive and proof the identity

$$e_d = \int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\partial\Omega'} \frac{(\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} - \partial_{\boldsymbol{n}} u_0)(\boldsymbol{m}' \cdot \boldsymbol{n}' - \partial_{\boldsymbol{n}'} u_0')}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} \, ds_{x'} \, ds_x, \tag{2}$$

where

$$-\Delta u_0 = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{m} \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{3}$$

$$u_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{4}$$

*lukas.exl@univie.ac.at

The key observation which leads to the expression (2) is the L^2-orthogonal decomposition of the magnetic field in Ω. Note, that neither evaluations of a nonlocal boundary integral inside the domain Ω nor the solution of an equivalent Dirichlet problem is required to obtain the energy from (2). This is an interesting observation, since most numerical methods in micromagnetics implement the magnetic self-energy in the form (1) with first computing the nonlocal field via the convolution with the Green's kernel $G(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|}$ [2, 3]

$$\boldsymbol{h}_s(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\nabla u(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_\Omega \nabla G(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{m}'\,dx' - \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla G(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\boldsymbol{m}'\cdot\boldsymbol{n}'\,ds_{x'} \tag{5}$$

or the solution of the PDE

$$\Delta u = \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{m} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{6}$$

with the help of boundary integral operators, which account for the contribution of the field in the external region $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. In any of these cases, the computation of the energy requires the evaluation of a part of the field by nonlocal convolutions evaluated on the boundary *and* inside the magnet or an additional Dirichlet problem. The evaluation of the presented formula, and the analogue to the energy via the vector potential, gets along without these computational tasks. Besides the solution of one Dirichlet problem, only the single layer potential has to be evaluated on the boundary, where efficient numerical techniques are already available, e.g. [4].

2 Preliminary discussion

In the following we will use smoothness assumptions which are sufficient to ensure the existence of a unique solution of the stray field problem (compare with Def. 1). This is also a suitable setting for common discretization schemes in numerical micromagnetics. However, the results presented here are certainly compatible with higher order regularity assumptions. For a rigorous presentation of the following definitions we refer to the literature [5–7]. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz-domain with boundary $\Gamma := \partial\Omega$. We denote the exterior domain with $\Omega^{ext} := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. We will make use of the Sobolev spaces $H^1(\Omega) := W^{1,2}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \text{ weak derivatives } \partial_q u \in L^2(\Omega),\ q = 1,2,3\}$ and $H^1_{loc}(\Omega^{ext}) := \{u \in H^1(C) : C \subset \Omega^{ext} \text{ compact}\}$. For the definition of the Sobolev spaces on manifolds, in particular $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ and its dual space $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$, we refer to the literature. We denote $H^1_0(\Omega) := \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \gamma_0 u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}$, where $\gamma_0 : H^1(\Omega) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ is the trace. Further, we use the short notation $\langle u, v\rangle_\Gamma = (u, v)_{L^2(\Gamma)}$. The conormal derivative $\gamma_1^{int} u \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ is defined as the solution of the variational problem $\langle \gamma_1^{int} u, \gamma_0^{int} v\rangle_\Gamma = (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^2(\Omega)} - \langle f, v\rangle$ for all $v \in H^1(\Omega)$, where $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfies $\Delta u = f,\ f \in H^1(\Omega)^*$, in the sense of distributions; the exterior conormal derivative $\gamma_1^{ext} : H^1_{loc}(\Omega^{ext}) \to H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ is defined accordingly. The expression on the boundary $\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}$, where $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the outer normal, is defined by the bounded linear map $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{n}} : \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3 \to H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$, $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{m}) = \boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}$ [8].

Definition 1 (Transmission problem). *Let* $\boldsymbol{m} \in \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3$. *Then the scalar potential* $u = (u^{int}, u^{ext}) \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^1_{loc}(\Omega^{ext})$ *is the unique solution [9] of*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u^{int} &= -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{m} \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma_0^{int} u^{int} &= \gamma_0^{ext} u^{ext} \quad \text{on } \Gamma \\ \gamma_1^{int} u^{int} &= \gamma_1^{int} u^{ext} + \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \quad \text{on } \Gamma \\ -\Delta u^{ext} &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega^{ext} \\ u^{ext} &= \mathcal{O}(|\boldsymbol{x}|^{-1}) \quad |\boldsymbol{x}| \to \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}$ *denotes the outer normal vector. The stray field is* $\boldsymbol{h}_s = -\nabla u$.

A solution of (7) can be represented with the help of the *single layer potential*.

Definition 2 (Single layer potential [5]). *The single layer potential* $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} : H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \to H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *is*

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi)(\boldsymbol{x}) := \int_\Gamma G(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})\phi(\boldsymbol{y}) ds_y, \tag{8}$$

where $G(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|}$ *is the Green's function of the Laplacian in* $\mathbb{R}^3$.

There holds [5]

$$\Delta \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \cup \Omega^{ext}. \tag{9}$$

The linear operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is continuous, while the conormal derivative jumps on Γ, i.e.

$$\gamma_0^{int}\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi - \gamma_0^{ext}\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\gamma_1^{int}\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi - \gamma_1^{ext}\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi = \phi. \tag{11}$$

There holds $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{O}(|\boldsymbol{x}|^{-1})$ as $|\boldsymbol{x}| \to \infty$. We define the trace of the single layer potential $\mathcal{V} := \gamma_0\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} : H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$, which is then a bounded linear operator.

The following can be verified, by using the above mentioned properties of the single layer potential.

Proposition 1. *The solution to* (7) *is given by* $u = \left(u_0 + \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int}u_0), \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int}u_0)\right)$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_0 &= -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{m} \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma_0^{int} u_0 &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Hence, the stray field in whole space is given as

$$\boldsymbol{h}_s = \boldsymbol{h}_{s,0} + \boldsymbol{h}_{s,1} := -\nabla u_0 - \nabla\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int}u_0), \tag{13}$$

where u_0 *satisfies* (12) *in* Ω *and is extended with zero to the exterior domain.* □

3 Derivation of the energy formula

There holds the following L^2-orthogonality.

Lemma 2 (L^2-orthogonality)**.** *Let $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem (12) and $\boldsymbol{h}_0 = -\nabla u_0 \in (L^2(\Omega))^3$ the corresponding field. Let further $\boldsymbol{h}_\Delta \in (L^2(\Omega))^3$ be a Laplace field, i.e. $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_\Delta = 0$ in Ω. Then*

$$(\boldsymbol{h}_0, \boldsymbol{h}_\Delta)_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} := \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{h}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_\Delta \, dx = 0. \tag{14}$$

Proof. *By partial integration on gets*

$$(-\nabla u_0, \boldsymbol{h}_\Delta)_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} = (u_0, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_\Delta)_{L^2(\Omega)} - \langle \gamma_0^{int} u_0, \boldsymbol{h}_\Delta \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rangle_\Gamma. \tag{15}$$

Both terms on the r.h.s. are zero. □

For the components in Eqn. 13 we conclude.

Corollary 3. *For the solution to (7) given by $u = u_0 + u_1$ with $u_1 := \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int} u_0)$ and u_0 from (12) the corresponding fields $\boldsymbol{h}_{s,0} = -\nabla u_0$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{s,1} = -\nabla u_1$ are L^2-orthogonal, i.e.*

$$(\boldsymbol{h}_{s,0}, \boldsymbol{h}_{s,1})_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3} = (\boldsymbol{h}_{s,0}, \boldsymbol{h}_{s,1})_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} = 0. \tag{16}$$

□

The formula (2) follows from Cor. 3.

Theorem 4 (Energy formula)**.** *Let $\boldsymbol{h}_{s,0} = -\nabla u_0$ with $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ fulfilling the Dirichlet problem (12). Then the magnetostatic energy is given by*

$$e_d = -(\boldsymbol{h}_s, \boldsymbol{m})_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} = \|\boldsymbol{h}_{s,0}\|^2_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} + \langle (\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int} u_0, \mathcal{V}\big(\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int} u_0\big)\rangle_\Gamma. \tag{17}$$

Proof. *By Thm. 2.7.7 in [6] we get from (12) with $v \in H^1(\Omega)$*

$$\langle \gamma_1^{int} u_0, \gamma_0^{int} v \rangle_\Gamma = (\nabla u_0, \nabla v)_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} + (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{m}, v)_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{18}$$

Now, applying Green's first identity gives

$$\langle \gamma_1^{int} u_0, \gamma_0^{int} v \rangle_\Gamma = (\nabla u_0, \nabla v)_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} - (\boldsymbol{m}, \nabla v)_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} + \langle \boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}, v\rangle_\Gamma. \tag{19}$$

Rearranging terms gives

$$\langle \gamma_1^{int} u_0 - \boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}, \gamma_0^{int} v \rangle_\Gamma = (\nabla u_0, \nabla v)_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} - (\boldsymbol{m}, \nabla v)_{(L^2(\Omega))^3}. \tag{20}$$

We insert $v := u = u_0 + u_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $u_1 := \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int} u_0)$ and u_0 from (12) and use the zero-boundary condition of u_0 and the orthogonality from Cor. 3. This yields

$$\langle \gamma_1^{int} u_0 - \boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}, \gamma_0^{int} u_1 \rangle_\Gamma = \|\nabla u_0\|^2_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} - (\boldsymbol{m}, \nabla u)_{(L^2(\Omega))^3}, \tag{21}$$

which immediately gives formula (17). □

There is an alternative derivation of (17) via the magnetic induction.

Proof (Alternative proof of Thm. 4)**.** *We use the fundamental Helmholtz decomposition (omitting constants) [1, 10]*

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{b}' - \boldsymbol{h}_s \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{22}$$

where $\boldsymbol{b}'$ is the divergence-free magnetic induction (not including the part corresponding to the external field). The fields $\boldsymbol{h}_s, \boldsymbol{b}' \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$ are L^2- orthogonal [1]. A consequence of this is that the energy can be written as

$$e_d = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_s \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\boldsymbol{h}_s|^2 \, dx. \tag{23}$$

We can now use the representation $\boldsymbol{h}_s = \boldsymbol{h}_{s,0} + \boldsymbol{h}_{s,1} := -\nabla u_0 - \nabla u_1$, $u_1 = \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int} u_0)$ for the stray field in whole space, where u_0 is extended with zero in the exterior domain Ω^{ext}. Cor. 3 yields

$$e_d = \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{h}_{s,0}|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\boldsymbol{h}_{s,1}|^2 \, dx = \|\nabla u_0\|^2_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} + \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{h}_{s,1}|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega^{ext}} |\boldsymbol{h}_{s,1}|^2 \, dx. \tag{24}$$

By applying Green's first formula we get [5, Ch. 6.6.1]

$$\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{h}_{s,1}|^2 \, dx = \langle \gamma_1^{int} u_1, \gamma_0 u_1 \rangle_\Gamma \tag{25}$$

$$\int_{\Omega^{ext}} |\boldsymbol{h}_{s,1}|^2 \, dx = \langle -\gamma_1^{ext} u_1, \gamma_0 u_1 \rangle_\Gamma \tag{26}$$

The jump of the conormal derivative $\gamma_1^{int} u_1 - \gamma_1^{ext} u_1 = \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int} u_0$ leads to the result (21). □

4 Derivation of an energy formula via the magnetic induction

Maxwell's equations for magnetostatics read

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \tag{27}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{j}, \tag{28}$$

where $\mathbf{j}$ is the current density. Excluding the divergence free part $\boldsymbol{h}_{ext}$: $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{h}_{ext} = \mathbf{j}$ from $\boldsymbol{h} = \boldsymbol{h}_s + \boldsymbol{h}_{ext}$ gives together with $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{h}_s$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}' = 0, \tag{29}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{b}' = \nabla \times \mathbf{m}. \tag{30}$$

By introducing a vector potential $\mathbf{b}' = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}$ with *gauge condition* $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{A} = 0$ [11], we get

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{A}) - \Delta \boldsymbol{A} = \nabla \times \mathbf{m}, \tag{31}$$

and hence

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{A} \;= -\nabla \times \mathbf{m} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{32}$$

For a finite magnet $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ this gives the boundary conditions [12]

$$\gamma_0 \boldsymbol{A}^{ext} - \gamma_0 \boldsymbol{A}^{int} \;= \mathbf{0}, \tag{33}$$
$$\gamma_1 \boldsymbol{A}^{ext} - \gamma_1 \boldsymbol{A}^{int} \;= -\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n}. \tag{34}$$

Definition 3 (Transmission problem for the vector potential)**.** *Let $\boldsymbol{m} \in \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3$. Then the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A} = (\boldsymbol{A}^{int}, \boldsymbol{A}^{ext}) \in \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3 \times \left(H^1_{loc}(\Omega^{ext})\right)^3$ is the unique solution of*

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{A}^{int} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{m} \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{35a}$$
$$\Delta \boldsymbol{A}^{ext} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \text{in } \Omega^{ext}, \tag{35b}$$
$$\gamma_0^{int} \boldsymbol{A}^{int} = \gamma_0^{ext} \boldsymbol{A}^{ext} \qquad \text{on } \Gamma, \tag{35c}$$
$$\gamma_1^{int} \boldsymbol{A}^{int} = \gamma_1^{ext} \boldsymbol{A}^{ext} + \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n} \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \tag{35d}$$
$$\boldsymbol{A}_j^{ext} = O(|x|^{-1}),\ j = 1 \dots 3 \quad \text{in } \Omega^{ext}, \tag{35e}$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}$ denotes the outer normal vector. The trace operators and conormal derivatives are applied component-wisely.

The analogy of the transmission problem in Def. 3 to that of Def. 1 gives rise to the representation of the solution for the magnetic induction

$$\boldsymbol{b}' = \boldsymbol{b}'_0 + \boldsymbol{b}'_1 := \nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}_0 + \nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}_1 \text{ with } \boldsymbol{A}_1 = \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{m} \times \boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int} \boldsymbol{A}_0), \tag{36}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is applied component-wisely and $\boldsymbol{A}_0 \in \left(H^1_0(\Omega)\right)^3$ fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \boldsymbol{A}_0 \;&= -\nabla \times \boldsymbol{m} \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma_0^{int} \boldsymbol{A}_0 \;&= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The magnetostatic energy can be expressed in terms of the vector potential by using the decomposition (22)

$$e_d = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_s \, dx = \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{m}|^2 \, dx - \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}' \, dx. \tag{38}$$

The analogue to Thm. 4 is

Theorem 5 (Energy formula)**.** *Let $\boldsymbol{b}'_0 = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}_0$ with $\boldsymbol{A}_0 \in (H^1_0(\Omega))^3$ fulfilling the Dirichlet problem (37). Then the magnetostatic energy is given by*

$$e_d = \|\boldsymbol{m}\|^2_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} - \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{A}_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)^{3\times 3}} + \langle \boldsymbol{m} \times \boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int} \boldsymbol{A}_0, \mathcal{V}\big(\boldsymbol{m} \times \boldsymbol{n} - \gamma_1^{int} \boldsymbol{A}_0\big)\rangle_{\Gamma^3}. \tag{39}$$

Proof. *The proof goes along the same lines as that of Thm. 4 but using the orthogonality*

$$(\nabla \boldsymbol{A}_0, \nabla \boldsymbol{A}_1)_{L^2(\Omega)^{3\times 3}} = 0, \tag{40}$$

and the Green's identity (e.g. [13]) for $\boldsymbol{V} \in \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3$

$$(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{V})_{L^2(\Omega)^3} = (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{m})_{L^2(\Omega)^3} - \langle \boldsymbol{m} \times \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{V} \rangle_{\Gamma^3}. \tag{41}$$

□

5 Conclusion

We have derived and proven a new formula for the stray field energy. Computation of the energy only requires the solution of a Dirichlet problem and the evaluation of the single layer potential on the boundary. The setting was chosen to be suitable for the common discretizations in numerical micromagnetics. The presented energy formula has an analogue via the magnetic induction. Numerical software for simulation of magnetic materials could benefit in terms of efficiency from incorporating the presented formulas.

Acknowledgments

Financial support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) via the SFB ViCoM (grant F41) is acknowledged.

References

[1] W F Brown. *Micromagnetics*. Number 18. Interscience Publishers, 1963.

[2] C Abert, L Exl, G Selke, A Drews, and T Schrefl. Numerical methods for the stray-field calculation: A comparison of recently developed algorithms. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 326:176–185, 2012.

[3] H Kronmüller and S Parkin. Handbook of magnetism and advanced magnetic materials. *Spintronics and Magnetoelectronics*, 5, 2008.

[4] L Exl and T Schrefl. Non-uniform FFT for the finite element computation of the micromagnetic scalar potential. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 270:490–505, 2014.

[5] O Steinbach. *Numerical approximation methods for elliptic boundary value problems: finite and boundary elements*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.

[6] S A Sauter and C Schwab. Boundary element methods, vol 39 of springer series in computational mathematics, 2011.

[7] W C H McLean. *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*. Cambridge university press, 2000.

[8] V Girault and P-A Raviart. *Finite element methods for Navier-Stokes equations: theory and algorithms*, volume 5. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[9] C Carstensen and E P Stephan. Adaptive coupling of boundary elements and finite elements. *RAIRO-Modélisation mathématique et analyse numérique*, 29(7):779–817, 1995.

[10] A Aharoni. *Introduction to the Theory of Ferromagnetism*, volume 109. Clarendon Press, 2000.

[11] J D Jackson. *Classical electrodynamics (Third edition)*. Wiley, 1999.

[12] W F Brown. Magnetostatic principles. In *Ferromagnetism*. North-Holland Amsterdam, 1962.

[13] D Sheen. A generalized Green's theorem. *Applied mathematics letters*, 5(4):95–98, 1992.